BILLS WE SUPPORTED:
SF 8 – Bicycle and pedestrian system task force. **PASSED.**
SF 53 – Large project funding. Wyoming Wildlife and Natural Resource Trust large projects to enhance habitat. **PASSED.**
SF 7, SF 39, HB 28. A series of bills supporting landfill cleanup around the state and protection of groundwater from leaks and contamination. **PASSED.**
SF 93 – Net metering. **WITHDRAWN BUT NOW AN INTERIM STUDY TOPIC.**
SF 64 – Industrial siting permit amendments. Required updating of socioeconomic data when permits become stale after many years. **FAILED BUT POSSIBLY AN INTERIM STUDY TOPIC.**

BILLS OR BUDGET AMENDMENTS WE OPPOSED:
HB 12 – Mountain lion trapping. **FAILED.**
HB 18 – Wolves and grizzly bears. **FAILED.**
HB 126 – Public land access. **FAILED.**
HB 142 – Transfer of federal lands. **FAILED.**
HB 146 – Solid and hazardous waste management rule-making. **FAILED.**

Budget Amendments:
$712,500 raid on Wyoming Wildlife and Natural Resource Trust. **FAILED.**
$8.4 million raid on the Wildlife Trust. **WITHDRAWN.**
$800,000 reduction in general fund support for the Game and Fish Department’s aquatic invasive species program. **FAILED.**
Approximately $500,000 reduction in Department of Environmental Quality expenditures for air quality and other work associated with the Clean Power Plan. **FAILED.**

BILLS & BUDGET ITEMS WE WERE MONITORING OR WORKING TO IMPROVE:
SF 28 – Carbon capture, storage and sequestration. We suggested an amendment that was adopted, but still have concerns with the final version and will monitor its implementation. **PASSED.**
SF 75, SF 76. Trespass data bills. Some positive changes were made including removing the inclusion of activity on “public land” and the targeting of information provided to government agencies. Nevertheless, we believe the law still has constitutional problems. **PASSED.**
SF 88 – State lands in Grand Teton National Park. **FAILED.**

Clean Power Plan footnote on the Senate version of the budget. The original amendment prohibited the Department of Environmental Quality from using funds to develop a state plan to comply with the Clean Power Plan. We opposed that amendment so as to ensure the state could continue research, study and stakeholder engagement and develop its expertise on this topic. That first amendment passed, but a later amendment revised the language, allowing DEQ latitude to continue its necessary study of the issue.